arXiv:1604.02908v2 [math.CO] 25 Apr 2016

Degree-associated edge-reconstruction numbers of double-brooms

Meijie Ma[1,2]*, Tingting Zhou[2]

[1]School of Management Science and Engineering,
Shandong Technology and Business University, Yantai 264005, China

[2]Department of Mathematics,
Zhejiang Normal University, Jinhua 321004, China

Abstract

An edge-deleted subgraph of a graph G is an *edge-card*. A *decard* consists of an edge-card and the degree of the missing edge. The *degree-associated edge-reconstruction number* of a graph G, denoted $\mathrm{dern}(G)$, is the minimum number of decards that suffice to reconstruct G. The *adversary degree-associated edge-reconstruction number* $\mathrm{adern}(G)$ is the least k such that every set of k decards determines G. We determine these two parameters for all double-brooms. The answer is usually 1 for $\mathrm{dern}(G)$, and 2 for $\mathrm{adern}(G)$ when G is double-broom. But there are exceptions in each case.

Keywords decard, reconstruction number, degree-associated edge-reconstruction number, double-broom

1 Introduction

The Reconstruction Conjecture of Kelly [3, 4] and Ulam [10] has been open for more than 50 years. An unlabeled subgraph of a graph G obtained by deleting one vertex is a *card*. The multiset of cards is the *deck* of G. The Reconstruction Conjecture asserts that every graph with at least three vertices is uniquely determined by its deck. Such a graph is *reconstructible*.

An *edge-card* of a graph G is a subgraph obtained from G by deleting one edge. Edge-cards are unlabeled; only the isomorphism class is known. The multiset of edge-cards is the *edge-deck* of G. The Edge-Reconstruction Conjecture of Harary [2] states that every graph with more than three edges is determined by its edge-deck (the claw $K_{1,3}$

*Corresponding author: Meijie Ma, E-mail: mameij@mail.ustc.edu.cn

and the disjoint union of a triangle and one vertex have the same edge-deck). A graph that is determined by its edge-deck is *edge reconstructible*. The Edge-Reconstruction Conjecture is simply the statement that line graphs are reconstructible.

The *degree of an edge* e, denoted by $d(e)$, is the number of edges adjacent to e. A *degree-associated edge-card* or *decard* is a pair $(G-e, d(e))$ consisting of an edge-card and the degree of the missing edge. The *dedeck* is the multiset of decards. For an edge reconstructible graph G, the *degree-associated edge-reconstruction number of* G, denoted $\mathrm{dern}(G)$, is the minimum number of decards that suffice to reconstruct G. The *adversary degree-associated edge-reconstruction number* $\mathrm{adern}(G)$ is the least k such that every set of k decards determines G. The definitions of degree-associated reconstruction number and adversary degree-associated reconstruction number are analogous to the edge setting. There are some papers about them [1, 6, 9].

The study of dern and adern was initiated by Monikandan and Sundar Raj [8]. They determined $\mathrm{dern}(G)$ and $\mathrm{adern}(G)$ when G is a regular graph, a complete bipartite graph, a path, a wheel, or a double-star. They also proved that $\mathrm{dern}(G) \leq 2$ and $\mathrm{adern}(G) \leq 3$ when G is a complete 3-partite set whose part-sizes differ by at most 1. The authors also determined the parameters for several product graphs [7].

In this paper, we determine dern and adern for all double-brooms. The *double-broom* $D_{m,n,p}$ with $p \geq 2$ is the tree with $m+n+p$ vertices obtained from a p-vertex path appending m leaf neighbors at one end and n leaf neighbors at the other end. When $p=2$, $D_{m,n,2}$ is also named as *double-star*. By symmetry, we may assume $1 \leq m \leq n$. For $D_{m,n,p}$, we distinguish three types of edges. A *leaf edge* is an edge incident to a leaf, a *middle edge* is an edge with degree 2 other than a leaf edge, a *hub edge* is an edge with degree more than 2 other than a leaf edge. A *leaf decard*, *middle decard*, or *hub decard* is a decard obtained by deleting a leaf, middle, or hub edge, respectively.

We refer to the two kinds of leaf edge in $D_{m,n,p}$ as the *left leaf edge*(with degree m) and the *right leaf edge*(with degree n). In the degenerate case $m=0$, we write $D_{0,n,p} = D_{1,n,p-1}$ for $p > 2$. We use " $+$ " to denote *disjoint union*. When $m, n \geq 1$, the left and right leaf decards are copies of $(D_{m-1,n,p} + K_1, m)$ and $(D_{m,n-1,p} + K_1, n)$ respectively. The edge-card obtained by deleting a middle edge or hub edge is a disjoint union of two brooms, where the broom $B_{m,a}$ is the tree with $m+a$ vertices obtained from a path with a vertices by adding m leaf neighbors to one endpoint of the path. Note that $B_{m,1}$ is a star $K_{1,m}$, and $B_{m,2}$ is a star $K_{1,m+1}$. The middle decards of $D_{m,n,p}$ are expressions of the form $(B_{m,a} + B_{n,p-a}, 2)$, where $1 \leq a \leq p-1$. A middle decard occurs twice in the dedeck of $D_{m,n,p}$ only if $m=n$, otherwise once. The number of middle decards is $p-1$ minus the number of the hub edges.

We prove some useful lemmas in Section 2. Our main results are given in Section 3.

2 Some Lemmas

When we prove a set of decards $\mathcal{S}$ determines the graph G, the main idea is finding all possible reconstructions from a decard in $\mathcal{S}$. If the dedeck of a reconstruction other than G cannot contain $\mathcal{S}$ as a subset, the set $\mathcal{S}$ determines the graph G. For convenience, an i-vertex is a vertex with degree i and a j-edge is an edge with degree j. We use C_l to denote a cycle with l vertices.

Lemma 2.1 ([5]). *If a graph G has an edge e such that $d(e) = 0$ or no two non-adjacent vertices in $G - e$ other than the endpoints of e have degree-sum $d(e)$, then the decard $(G - e, d(e))$ determines G.*

For convenience, we use $D^{s,t}_{m,n,p}$ to denote the graph obtained from $D_{m,n,p}$ by subdividing a left leaf edge s times and a right leaf edge t times. Figure 1 shows the graph $D^{1,2}_{3,4,5}$.



Figure 1: The graph $D^{1,2}_{3,4,5}$.

Lemma 2.2. *If $p \geq 4$, $m \geq 2$, $n \geq 3$, and $n \neq m + 1$, then two hub decards determine $D_{m,n,p}$ except $D_{2,n,5}$. If $p \in \{2, 3\}$, or $m = 1, n \geq 4$, or $m = 1, n = 3, p = 4$, one hub decard determines $D_{m,n,p}$.*

Proof. Lemma 2.1 implies the second conclusion is true. Assume $p \geq 3$ and $m \geq 2$ in the following. We are considering the two hub decards $(K_{1,m} + B_{n,p-1}, m + 1)$ and $(B_{m,p-1} + K_{1,n}, n + 1)$.

Let G be a graph that arises from $B_{m,p-1} + K_{1,n}$ by adding an edge e of degree $n+1$. Note that there are one $m + 1$-vertex and one n-vertex in $B_{m,p-1} + K_{1,n}$.

If $n \notin \{3, m+1, m+2\}$, then G is obtained by adding an edge e joining the n-vertex in $K_{1,n}$ and a 1-vertex in $B_{m,p-1}$. If $G \ncong D_{m,n,p}$, then $p \geq 4$ and $G \cong D^{p-3,0}_{m,n,3}$. But deleting an $(m+1)$-edge other than e from G cannot arise $K_{1,m}$ unless $m = 2$ and $p = 5$. Note that $D^{2,0}_{2,n,3}$ and $D_{2,n,5}$ share the decards $(K_{1,2} + B_{n,4}, 3)$ and $(B_{2,4} + K_{1,n}, n + 1)$.

If $n = m + 2$ and G is obtained from $B_{m,p-1} + K_{1,m+2}$ by adding an edge e joining the $(m+1)$-vertex and a 2-vertex in $B_{m,p-1}$, then G has a cycle C and $p \geq 5$. The graph

obtained by deleting any edge e' on C other than e from G has $K_{1,m+2}$ as a component, which cannot happen in the edge-card $K_{1,m} + B_{n,p-1}$.

If $m = n = 3$ and G arises from $B_{3,p-1} + K_{1,3}$ by adding an edge e joining two non-adjacent 2-vertices, then $p \geq 6$, and G has a cycle C and the degree of any edge other than e on the cycle C is less than 4. Hence, G shares only one hub decard with $D_{3,3,p}$. □

Lemma 2.3. *Any two distinct middle decards determine $D_{m,n,p}$ except $1 = m < n$ and $p \geq 6$. In the special case three middle decards determine it.*

Proof. Assume two different middle decards are $(B_{m,a} + B_{n,p-a}, 2)$ and $(B_{m,b} + B_{n,p-b}, 2)$ with $a < b$. Any component of $B_{m,a} + B_{n,p-a}$ is different with any component of $B_{m,b} + B_{n,p-b}$. Let G be the graph arises from edge-card $B_{m,b} + B_{n,p-b}$ by adding an edge e joining two non-adjacent 1-vertices.

If e joins two 1-vertices in one component, then G has a cycle C. The graph obtained by deleting any edge e' on C other than e from G has either $B_{m,b}$ or $B_{n,p-b}$ as a component which is not a component of $B_{m,a} + B_{n,p-a}$. Hence, G shares only one middle decard with $D_{m,n,p}$.

Assume e joins two 1-vertices in two components respectively. We discuss the cases according to m.

Case 1: $m = 1$.

If $n = 1$ or $n > 1$ and $p - b = 2$, then the reconstruction G is $D_{1,n,p}$. Hence we assume $n > 1$ and $p - b > 2$ in the following. If $p = 5$ and $G \ncong D_{1,n,p}$, then $G \cong D^{0,1}_{1,n,4}$. The graph obtained by deleting any 2-edge e' other than e from G has only one broom component. Hence G and $D_{1,n,5}$ shares one middle decard. If $p \geq 6$ and $G \ncong D_{1,n,p}$, then $G \cong D^{0,p-b-2}_{1,n,b+2}$. If $p - b = 3$, the graph obtained by deleting any 2-edge e' other than e from G has only one broom component. Hence, G and $D_{1,n,p}$ shares one middle decard. Assume $p - b \geq 4$. Let e' be another 2-edge adjacent to another $(n+1)$-edge. Moreover, e' is not a leaf edge when $n = 2$. Then $G - e' \cong B_{1,p-b-3} + B_{n,b+3}$. The graph obtained by deleting any 2-edge e'' other than e and e' from G has only one broom component. Hence, G and $D_{1,n,p}$ shares at most two middle decards.

Case 2: $m \geq 2$.

If $G \ncong D_{m,n,p}$, G has three possibilities $D^{b-2,p-b-2}_{m,n,4}$, $D^{0,p-b-2}_{m,n,b+2}$ or $D^{b-2,0}_{m,n,p-b-2}$. The graph obtained by deleting any 2-edge e' other than e from G has only one broom component. Hence, G shares one middle decard with $D_{m,n,p}$. □

Lemma 2.4. *Any two distinct leaf decards determine $D_{m,n,p}$ except $D_{1,2,p} (p \geq 3)$, and any three leaf decards suffice except $D_{m,m+2,3}$.*

Proof. Let $\hat{e}$ be a leaf edge of $D_{m,n,p}$ and $H = D_{m,n,p} - \hat{e}$. Then H contains a double broom component H' and an isolated vertex. The diameter of H' is $p+1$ when $d(\hat{e}) \geq 2$, and p when $d(\hat{e}) = 1$. We reconstruct G from the leaf card H.

If $m \geq 5$, or $m = 4$ and $p \leq 4$, or $m \in \{1, 3\}$ and $p = 2$, or $m = n = 1$, Lemma 2.1 implies that a left leaf decard determines $D_{m,n,p}$.

If $n \geq 5$ and $m \notin \{n-2, n-3\}$, or $m+3 = n \geq 5$ and $p \leq 3$, or $m+1 = n = 4$ and $p \leq 4$, or $n = 4, m = 1$ and $p \leq 3$, or $m+1 = n = 3$ and $p = 2$, Lemma 2.1 implies that a right leaf decard determines $D_{m,n,p}$.

If $n = m+2$ and $p = 3$, the right leaf decard is $(D_{m,m+1,3} + K_1, m+2)$. Let G_1 be the graph obtained from a cycle C_4 by appending m-vertices to two non-adjacent vertices respectively(see Fig.2). The degree of any edge on the cycle is $m+2$. Let $G' = G_1 + K_1$ and the edger-card obtained by deleting any edge on the cycle from G' is isomorphism to $D_{m,m+1,3} + K_1$. Then G' shares $\min\{4, m+2\}$ right leaf decards with $D_{m,m+2,3}$. Hence, three leaf decards cannot determine $D_{m,m+2,3}$.



Figure 2: The graph G_1.

Otherwise, we consider the following cases according to $d(\hat{e})$.

If $d(\hat{e}) = 1$, then $m = 1$, $n \geq 2$ and $p \geq 3$. The graph G is obtained from H by adding an edge e joining a 1-vertex and an isolated vertex. If $G \ncong D_{1,n,p}$, then $G \cong D^{0,1}_{1,n,p-1}$. If $n \geq 3$, the graph obtained from G by deleting any edge e' of degree n from G has no double-broom component. Then G shares only one leaf decard with $D_{1,n,p}$. If $n = 2$, let e' be the 2-edge incident to a leaf, then $G - e' \cong D_{1,1,p} + K_1$. The graph obtained from G by deleting any edge e'' other than e and e' from G cannot contain both a double-broom component and an isolated vertex. Hence, G shares exactly two distinct leaf decards with $D_{1,2,p}$.

If $d(\hat{e}) = 2$, then $m = 2$ or $n = 2$. The graph G arises from H by joining two non-adjacent vertices whose degree sum is 2. Then G is obtained from H by adding an edge e joining two 1-vertices or a 2-vertex and an isolated vertex. If e joins two 1-vertices, G has a cycle C_l. If $m = 1$ and $n = 2$, $G \cong C_{p+2} + K_1$. In this case G shares two right leaf decards with $D_{1,2,p}$. If $m = 2$ and $l \geq 4$, let e' be another edge adjacent to another $(n+1)$-edge on the cycle C, then $G - e' \cong H$. The graph obtained by deleting any edge e'' other than e and e' on the cycle has no double-broom component. Hence G shares exactly two left leaf decards with $D_{2,n,p}$. If $m = 2$ and $l = 3$, the degree of any edge on

the cycle other than e is $n+1$. Hence G shares one leaf decard with $D_{2,n,p}$. Assume e joins a 2-vertex and an isolated vertex, and $G \ncong D_{m,n,p}$. If $G \cong D^{0,1}_{1,2,p-1}$, then G shares exactly two distinct leaf decards with $D_{1,2,p}$ as discussed above. If $G \ncong D^{0,1}_{1,2,p-1}$, the graph obtained by deleting any edge e' of degree m or n cannot contain both a double-broom component and an isolated vertex. Then G shares only one leaf decards with $D_{m,n,p}$.

If $d(\hat{e}) = 3$, the graph G arises from H by joining a 1-vertex u and a 2-vertex v. If $G \ncong D_{m,n,p}$, then G has a cycle C_l. Assume the degree of any vertex on C_l other than v is 2 in G. Let e' be another edge other than e incident to v on the cycle C_l. Then $G - e' \cong H$. The graph obtained by deleting any edge e'' other than e and e' on the C_l from G has no double-broom component or a double-broom component with diameter p. Then G shares exactly two identical leaf decards with $D_{m,n,p}$. Assume there are two vertices with degree at least 3 on C_l in G. Suppose $l = 4$, let e' be the other edge incident to v on the cycle C_l. Then $G - e' \cong H$. The graph obtained by deleting any edge e'' other than e and e' on the C_l from G has no double-broom component. Then G shares exactly two identical leaf decards with $D_{m,n,p}$. If $l = p+1$, then $m = 1$ and $n = 3$. Assume $p \neq 3$. Let e' be the other edge incident to u on the cycle C_l. Then $G - e' \cong H$. The graph obtained by deleting any edge e'' other than e and e' on the C_l from G has no double-broom component or a double-broom component with diameter $p+2$ or p. Then G shares exactly two right leaf decards with $D_{1,3,p}$. If $l \notin \{4, p+1\}$, the graph obtained by deleting any edge e' other than e on the C_l from G has no double-broom component or a double-broom component with diameter $p+2$. Then G shares only one leaf decard with $D_{m,n,p}$.

If $d(\hat{e}) = 4$, the graph G arises from H by joining two non-adjacent vertices whose degree sum is 4. If $G \ncong D_{m,n,p}$, then G is obtained from H by adding an edge e joining two non-adjacent 2-vertices or a 1-vertex u and a 3-vertex. Hence G has a cycle C. If e joins two two non-adjacent 2-vertices, the graph obtained by deleting any edge e' other than e on the C from G has no double-broom component or has a double-broom component with diameter p when $m = 1$. Then G shares one leaf decard with $D_{m,n,p}$. If e joins a 1-vertex u and a 3-vertex, then $m = 2, n = 4$. Assume $p \neq 3$. Let e' be the other edge incident to u on the cycle C, then $G - e' \cong H$. The graph obtained by deleting any edge e'' other than e and e' on the C from G has no double-broom component or a double-broom component with diameter $p+2$ or p. Then G shares exactly two leaf decards with $D_{2,4,p}$.

If $5 \leq d(\hat{e}) = m+2$ and $p \neq 3$, the graph G arises from H by joining two non-adjacent vertices whose degree sum is $m+2$. If $G \ncong D_{m,m+2,p}$, then G is obtained from H by adding an edge e joining a 1-vertex u and the $(m+1)$-vertex. Then G has a

cycle C. Let e' be another edge incident to u on the cycle C, then $G - e' \cong H$. The graph obtained by deleting any edge e'' other than e and e' on the C from G has no double-broom component or a double-broom component with diameter $p+2$ or p. Then G shares exactly two right leaf decards with $D_{m,m+2,p}$.

If $5 \leq d(\hat{e}) = m+3$ and $p \geq 4$, the graph G arises from H by joining two non-adjacent vertices whose degree sum is $m+3$. If $G \ncong D_{m,m+3,p}$, then G is obtained from H by adding an edge e joining a 2-vertex and the $(m+1)$-vertex. Then G has a cycle C. The graph obtained by deleting any edge e' other than e on the cycle C from G has no double-broom component or a double-broom component with diameter p. Then G shares only one right leaf decards with $D_{m,n,p}$. □

Lemma 2.5. *A leaf decard and a hub decard determine $D_{m,n,p}$ except $p \geq 4$, $m = 2$ or $n = 2$.*

Proof. Let $\hat{e}$ be a leaf edge of $D_{m,n,p}$ and $H = D_{m,n,p} - \hat{e}$. Then H contains an isolated vertex. We reconstruct G from the leaf card H.

If $d(\hat{e}) \geq 3$ and $G \ncong D_{m,n,p}$, then G has a cycle and an isolated vertex. Since any hub edge-card has no isolated vertex, G shares no hub decard with $D_{m,n,p}$. If $d(\hat{e}) = 2$, then $m = 2$ or $n = 2$. By the assumption, $p \leq 3$. By Lemma 2.2, one hub decard is sufficient. If $d(\hat{e}) = 1$, by Lemma 2.2 and the proof of Lemma 2.4, we only need consider $m = 1, n = 3, p \geq 5$. If $G \ncong D_{1,3,p}$, then $G \cong D^{0,1}_{1,3,p-1}$. The graph obtained by deleting any edge from G cannot have $K_{1,3}$ component. Since the hub decard is $(B_{1,p-1} + K_{1,3}, 4)$, G shares no hub decard with $D_{1,3,p}$. □

Lemma 2.6. *A leaf decard and a middle decard determine $D_{m,n,p}$, except $m = 2, p \geq 5$ and $m = 1, n \geq 2, p \geq 4$.*

Proof. Let $\hat{e}$ be a leaf edge of $D_{m,n,p}$ and $H = D_{m,n,p} - \hat{e}$. Then H contains an isolated vertex. We reconstruct G from the leaf card H. If G has a cycle, then G has an isolated vertex. Since any middle edge-card has no isolated vertex, G shares no middle decard with $D_{m,n,p}$. Assume G has no cycle in the following. That is, G is obtained from H by adding an edge e joining the isolated vertex and a $d(\hat{e})$-vertex.

If $d(\hat{e}) \geq 3$, then $G \cong D_{m,n,p}$.

If $d(\hat{e}) = 2$, then G is obtained from H by adding an edge e joining the isolated vertex and a 2-vertex. If $m = 2$, $p = 4$ and $G \ncong D_{2,n,4}$, G is $D^{1,0}_{2,n,3}$ or $D^{2,0}_{2,n,2}$. The graph obtained by deleting any 2-edge e' from G has an isolated vertex or a path. Hence, G shares no middle decard with $D_{2,n,4}$. If $m = 1, n = 2, p = 3$ and $G \ncong D_{1,2,3}$, then $G \cong D^{0,1}_{1,2,2}$. There is no 2-edge other than e in G. Hence, G shares no middle decard with $D_{1,2,3}$.

If $d(\hat{e}) = 1$, then $m = 1, n \geq 2, p = 3$ by the assumption. The graph G is obtained from H by adding an edge e joining a leaf and the isolated vertex. If $G \ncong D_{1,n,3}$, then $G \cong D^{0,1}_{1,n,2}$. G has no 2-edge or a 2-edge incident to a leaf when $n = 2$. Hence, G shares no middle decard with $D_{1,n,3}$. □

Lemma 2.7. *A hub decard and a middle decard determine $D_{m,n,p}$, except $m = n-1$ and $p \geq 4$, or $m = 2$ and $p \geq 6$.*

Proof. By Lemma 2.2, one hub decard determines $D_{m,n,p}$ when $p \in \{2, 3\}$. Assume $p \geq 4$. Let $H = B_{m,a} + B_{n,p-a}$ be the given middle card. The graph G is obtained from H by adding an edge e joining two non-adjacent 1-vertices.

If e joins two 1-vertices in one component, we have a cycle C. If $m \neq n-1$, the graph obtained by deleting any edge on C has no $K_{1,m}$ or $K_{1,n}$ as a component. Since any hub edge-card has $K_{1,m}$ or $K_{1,n}$ as a component, G shares no hub decard with $D_{m,n,p}$.

Assume e joins two 1-vertices in two components respectively. We discuss the cases according to m. If $m = 1$, by Lemma 2.2, we only need consider $m = 1, n = 3, p \geq 5$. If $G \ncong D_{1,3,p}$, then G is obtained from a $(p+2)$-vertex path by adding 2 neighbors to a vertex at distance at least 2 from its end. The graph obtained by deleting any edge from G cannot have $K_{1,3}$ component. Since the hub decard is $(B_{1,p-1} + K_{1,3}, 4)$, G shares no hub decard with $D_{1,3,p}$. If $m = 2$, by the assumption, $p \in \{4, 5\}$. If $m = 2, p = 4$, then the reconstruction G is $D_{2,n,4}$. If $m = 2, p = 5$ and $G \ncong D_{2,n,5}$, then G is $D^{0,1}_{2,n,4}$ or $D^{1,0}_{2,n,4}$. The graph obtained by deleting a $(m+1)$-edge or $(n+1)$-edge from G cannot contain both a broom component and a $K_{1,2}$ or $K_{1,n}$ component. G shares no hub decard with $D_{2,n,5}$. If $m \geq 3$ and $G \ncong D_{m,n,p}$, G has three possibilities $D^{a-2,p-a-2}_{m,n,4}$, $D^{0,p-a-2}_{m,n,a+2}$ or $D^{a-2,0}_{m,n,p-a-2}$. The graph obtained by deleting a $(m+1)$-edge or $(n+1)$-edge from G cannot contain both a broom component and a $K_{1,m}$ or $K_{1,n}$ component. Hence, G shares no hub decard with $D_{m,n,p}$. □

3 Main Results

Theorem 3.1. *For the double-broom $D_{m,n,p}$ with $1 \leq m \leq n$ and $p \geq 2$,*

$$\operatorname{dern}(D_{m,n,p}) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if there is an edge satisfying the condition of Lemma 2.1;} \\ 2, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Proof. The decard satisfying the condition of Lemma 2.1 determines it. Otherwise, any decard cannot determine it, hence $\operatorname{dern}(D_{m,n,p}) \geq 2$. By the proofs of Lemmas 2.3, 2.4, 2.5, 2.6 and 2.7, there are two decards determine $D_{m,n,p}$. Hence, we have $\operatorname{dern}(D_{m,n,p}) \leq 2$. □

Theorem 3.2. *For the double-broom $D_{m,n,p}$ with $1 \le m \le n$ and $p \ge 2$,*

$$\mathrm{adern}(D_{m,n,p}) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{for } D_{m,n,2}(2 \notin \{m,n\} \text{ and } m \neq n-2), \text{ or } D_{m,n,3}(m \ge 4 \text{ and } m \neq n-2); \\ 5, & \text{for } D_{1,2,4},\ D_{1,2,p}(p \ge 6), \text{ or } D_{m,m+2,3}(m \ge 2); \\ 4, & \text{for } D_{1,2,5},\ D_{1,3,3} \text{ or } D_{2,n,p}(p \ge 5); \\ 3, & \text{for } D_{1,1,p}(p \ge 3),\ D_{1,2,p}(p \le 3),\ D_{1,n,p}(n \ge 4, p \ge 4), \\ & \quad D_{2,n,p}(p \le 4, \text{except } D_{2,4,3}),\ D_{3,n,p}(p \ge 4),\ D_{m,m,p}(m \ge 4, p \ge 5), \\ & \quad D_{m,m+1,p}(m \ge 4, p \ge 4), \text{ or } D_{m,m+2,p}(m \notin \{2,3\}, p \neq 3), \text{or } D_{3,5,2}; \\ 2, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Proof. In arguments for upper bounds, we will always let $\mathcal{S}$ be a given list of decards of $D_{m,n,p}$ and G be a reconstruction from $\mathcal{S}$. If this forces $G \cong D_{m,n,p}$ wherever $|S| = k$, then $\mathrm{adern}(D_{m,n,p}) \le k$, but a single exception yields $\mathrm{adern}(D_{m,n,p}) \ge k$.

Case 1: Every edge of $D_{m,n,p}$ satisfies the condition of Lemma 2.1. By Lemma 2.1, every decard determines it.

Case 2: The graph has three possibilities.

The graph is $D_{1,2,4}$. The graphs $D_{1,2,4}$ and $D^{0,2}_{1,2,2}$ have four common decards: $(D_{1,2,3}, 1)$, $(D_{1,1,4}, 2)$, $(B_{1,1} + B_{2,3}, 2)$, and $(B_{1,3} + K_{1,2}, 3)$. Hence, $\mathrm{adern}(D_{1,2,4}) \ge 5$. For the upper bound, if $|\mathcal{S}| = 5$, then $\mathcal{S}$ has either 2 middle decards or 3 leaf decards. By Lemmas 2.3 and 2.4, the reconstruction G is $D_{1,2,4}$. We have $\mathrm{adern}(D_{1,2,4}) \le 5$.

The graph is $D_{1,2,p}$ and $p \ge 6$. The graphs $D_{1,2,p}$ and $D^{0,2}_{1,2,p-2}$ have four common decards: $(B_{1,1,p} + K_1, 2)$, $(B_{1,p-1} + K_{1,2}, 3)$, $(B_{1,1} + B_{2,p-1}, 2)$, and $(B_{1,p-4} + B_{2,4}, 2)$. For the upper bound, assume $|\mathcal{S}| = 5$. By Lemmas 2.3 and 2.4, 3 leaf decards or 3 middle decards determine it. Assume $\mathcal{S}$ has 2 leaf decards and 2 middle decards. Since $D_{1,2,p}$ has one left leaf decard, $\mathcal{S}$ contains one right leaf decard $(B_{1,1,p} + K_1, 2)$. The reconstruction from $(B_{1,1,p} + K_1, 2)$ other than $D_{1,2,p}$ is $C_{p+2} + K_1$ or $B^{0,p-a}_{1,2,a}(2 \le a \le p-2)$. But each has at most four decards in $\mathcal{S}$. We have $\mathrm{adern}(D_{1,2,p}) \le 5(p \ge 6)$.

The graph is $D_{m,m+2,3}(m \ge 2)$. By the proof of Lemma 2.4, $D_{m,m+2,3}$ and $G_1 + K_1$ have four common right leaf decards when $m \ge 2$. For the upper bound, assume $|\mathcal{S}| = 5$. By Lemmas 2.2 and 2.4, one hub decard or 3 leaf decards containing at least one left leaf decard determine it. Assume $\mathcal{S}$ has 5 right leaf decards $(D_{m,m+1,3} + K_1, m+2)$. The reconstruction from $(D_{m,m+1,3} + K_1, m+2)$ other than $D_{m,m+2,3}$ is $G_1 + K_1$. But there are four decards $(D_{m,m+1,3} + K_1, m+2)$ in the dedeck of $G_1 + K_1$. We have $\mathrm{adern}(D_{m,m+2,3}) \le 5(m \ge 2)$.

Case 3: The graph has three possibilities.

The graph is $D_{1,2,5}$. The graphs $D_{1,2,5}$ and $D^{0,2}_{1,2,3}$ have three common decards: $(B_{1,1,5} + K_1, 2)$, $(B_{1,1} + B_{2,4}, 2)$, and $(B_{1,4} + K_{1,2}, 3)$. For the upper bound, assume $|\mathcal{S}| = 4$. By Lemmas 2.3 and 2.4, we may assume $\mathcal{S}$ has 2 leaf decards, 1 middle decard and

1 hub decard. Since $D_{1,2,5}$ has one left leaf decard, $\mathcal{S}$ contains one right leaf decard $(B_{1,1,5}+K_1,2)$. The reconstruction from $(B_{1,1,p}+K_1,2)$ other than $D_{1,2,5}$ is C_7+K_1 or $B_{1,2,a}^{0,5-a}(2\le a\le 3)$. But each has at most three decards in $\mathcal{S}$. We have adern$(D_{1,2,5})\le 4$.

The graph is $D_{1,3,3}$. By the proof of Lemma 2.4, $D_{1,3,3}$ and G_1+K_1 have three common right leaf decards: $(D_{1,2,3}+K_1,3)$. For the upper bound, assume $|\mathcal{S}|=4$. Since there are 6 decards in its dedeck, $\mathcal{S}$ has the hub decard or one middle decard and a leaf decard or two distinct leaf decards. By Lemmas 2.2, 2.4, and 2.6, the reconstruction is $D_{1,3,3}$. We have adern$(D_{1,3,3})\le 4$.

The graph is $D_{2,n,p}(p\ge 5)$. The graphs $D_{2,n,5}$ and $D_{2,n,3}^{2,0}$ have three common decards: $(B_{1,n,5}+K_1,2)$, $(K_{1,2}+B_{n,4},3)$, and $(K_{1,n}+B_{2,4},n+1)$. The graphs $D_{2,n,p}(p\ge 6)$ and $D_{2,n,p-2}^{2,0}$ have three common decards: $(B_{1,n,p}+K_1,2)$, $(B_{2,4}+B_{n,p-4},2)$, and $(B_{1,p-1}+K_{1,2},3)$. If $|\mathcal{S}|=4$, by Lemmas 2.3 and 2.4, we need consider the following cases. If $\mathcal{S}$ has 2 identical leaf decards and other kinds of decards, the reconstruction G from a leaf decard having two identical leaf decards other than $D_{2,n,p}$ has an isolated vertex and shares no other kinds of decard with $D_{2,n,p}$. If $\mathcal{S}$ has 2 identical middle decards and other kinds of decards, then $n=2$. Assume the middle decard is $B_{2,a}+B_{2,p-a}$. The reconstruction from $B_{2,a}+B_{2,p-a}$ having two identical middle decards other than $D_{2,2,p}$ has $B_{2,a}$ or $B_{2,p-a}$ as a component and shares no other kinds of decard with $D_{2,2,p}$. If $\mathcal{S}$ has 2 hub decards and other kinds of decards, by Lemmas 2.2, we may assume $n=2$, or $n=3$, or $n\ge 4$ and $p=5$. When $n=2$, the reconstruction G from a hub decard having two hub decards other than $D_{2,2,p}$ has $K_{1,2}$ as a component or is $D_{2,2,3}^{2,0}$ when $p=5$. But $D_{2,2,3}^{2,0}$ shares three decards with $D_{2,2,5}$, otherwise G shares no other kinds of decard with $D_{2,2,p}$. When $n=3$, the reconstruction from $(B_{2,p-1}+K_{1,3},4)$ other than $D_{2,3,p}$ is $D_{2,3,3}^{0,p-3}$, or $D_{2,3,3}^{p-3,0}$. But each of them shares at most three decards with $D_{2,3,p}(D_{2,3,3}^{2,0}$ shares three decards with $D_{2,3,5})$. When $n\ge 4$ and $p=5$, the reconstruction from $(B_{2,4}+K_{1,n},n+1)$ other than $D_{2,n,5}$ is $D_{2,n,3}^{2,0}$. But $D_{2,n,3}^{2,0}$ shares three decards with $D_{2,n,5}$. We have adern$(D_{2,n,p})\le 4(p\ge 5)$.

Case 4: The graph has nine possibilities.

The graph is $D_{1,1,p}(p\ge 3)$. The graphs $D_{1,1,p}$ and $C_p+B_{1,1}$ have two common decards: $(B_{1,1}+B_{1,p-1},2)$. If $|\mathcal{S}|=3$, then $\mathcal{S}$ has 2 distinct middle decards or 1 leaf decard. By Lemmas 2.1 and 2.3, the reconstruction G is $D_{1,1,p}$. We have adern$(D_{1,1,p})\le 3(p\ge 3)$.

The graph is $D_{1,2,p}(p\le 3)$. The graphs $D_{1,2,p}$ and $C_{p+2}+K_1$ have two common decards: $(B_{1,1,p}+K_1,2)$. If $|\mathcal{S}|=3$, $\mathcal{S}$ has 1 hub decard, or 3 leaf decards, or 2 leaf decards and 1 middle decard. By Lemmas 2.2, 2.4, and 2.6, G is $D_{1,2,p}$. We have adern$(D_{1,2,p})\le 3(p\le 3)$.

The graph is $D_{1,n,p}(n\ge 4,p\ge 4)$. The graphs $D_{1,n,p}$ and $D_{1,n,p-1}^{0,1}$ have two common decards: $(B_{1,n,p-1}+K_1,1)$ and $(B_{1,p-3}+B_{n,3},2)$. For the upper bound, by the proofs

of Lemmas 2.2, 2.3, 2.4, 2.5, 2.6, and 2.7, we may assume $\mathcal{S}$ has 2 middile decard and 1 leaf decard. Assume $\mathcal{S}$ has $(B_{1,a}+B_{n,p-a},2)$. The reconstruction from $(B_{1,a}+B_{n,p-a},2)$ other than $D_{1,n,p}$ is $D^{0,p-a-2}_{1,n,a+2}$. But $D^{0,p-a-2}_{1,n,a+2}$ shares at most two decards with $D_{1,n,p}$. We have $\text{adern}(D_{1,n,p}) \leq 3 (n \geq 4, p \geq 4)$.

The graph is $D_{2,n,p} (p \leq 4$ *except* $D_{2,4,3})$. Let G_2 be the graph obtained from $C_{p+2}+K_1$ by appending $(n-1)$ vertices to one vertex on the cycle. The graphs $D_{2,n,p}$ and G_2 have two common decards: $(B_{1,n,p}+K_1,2)$. If $|\mathcal{S}|=3$, by the proofs of Lemmas 2.2, 2.4, and 2.6, we may assume $\mathcal{S}$ has a hub decard and $p=4$. The reconstruction from $(K_{1,2}+B_{n,3},3)$ or $(K_{1,n}+B_{2,3},n+1)$ other than $D_{2,n,4}$ is $D^{0,1}_{2,n,3}$, $D^{1,0}_{2,n,3}$, or has a $K_{1,2}$ component. But anyone of them shares at most two decards with $D_{2,n,p}$. We have $\text{adern}(D_{2,n,p}) \leq 3 (p \leq 4$ except $D_{2,4,3})$.

The graph is $D_{3,n,p} (p \geq 4)$. Let G_3 be the graph obtained from $D_{2,n,p}+K_1$ by joining a 1-vertex u to the vertex at distance 3 from u. The graphs $D_{3,n,p}$ and G_3 have two common left leaf decards: $(B_{2,n,p}+K_1,3)$. If $|\mathcal{S}|=3$ and $n \neq 4$, by Lemmas 2.5, 2.6, and 2.7, we may assume the decards in $\mathcal{S}$ are all leaf decards or middle decards. By Lemmas 2.3 and 2.4, the reconstruction G is $D_{3,n,p}$. If $n=4$, by Lemmas 2.3, 2.4, 2.5, and 2.6, assume $\mathcal{S}$ has 2 hub decards and 1 middle decard. The reconstruction from a hub decard other than $D_{m,m+1,p}$ is $D^{0,p-3}_{3,4,3}$ or $D^{p-3,0}_{3,4,3}$. But each of them shares at most two decards with $D_{3,4,p}$. We have $\text{adern}(D_{3,n,p}) \leq 4 (p \geq 4)$.

The graph is $D_{m,m,p} (m \geq 4, p \geq 5)$. Let G_4 be the graph obtained from $C_{p-1}+B_{m,2}$ by appending $(m-1)$ vertices to one vertex on the cycle. The graphs G_4 and $D_{m,m,p}$ have two common decards: $(B_{m,2}+B_{m,p-2},2)$. If $|\mathcal{S}|=3$, by Lemmas 2.5, 2.6, and 2.7, we may assume the decards in $\mathcal{S}$ are all leaf decards or middle decards. By Lemmas 2.3 and 2.4, the reconstruction G is $D_{m,m,p}$. We have $\text{adern}(D_{m,m,p}) \leq 3 (m \geq 4, p \geq 5)$.

The graph is $D_{m,m+1,p} (m \geq 4, p \geq 4)$. The graphs $D^{0,p-3}_{m,m+1,3}$ and $D_{m,m+1,p}$ have two common decards: $(K_{1,m}+B_{m+1,p-1},m+1)$ and $(K_{1,m+1}+B_{m,p-1},m+2)$. Hence, $\text{adern}(D_{m,m+1,p}) \geq 3$. By Lemmas 2.3, 2.4, 2.5, and 2.6, assume $\mathcal{S}$ has 2 hub decards and 1 middle decard. The reconstruction from a hub decard other than $D_{m,m+1,p}$ is $D^{0,p-3}_{m,m+1,3}$ or $D^{p-3,0}_{m,m+1,3}$. But each of them shares at most two decards with $D_{m,m+1,p}$. We have $\text{adern}(D_{m,m+1,p}) \leq 3 (m \geq 4, p \geq 4)$.

The graph is $D_{m,m+2,p} (m \notin \{2,3\}, p \neq 3)$ *or* $D_{3,5,2}$. Let G_5 be a graph obtained from $C_{p+1}+K_1$ by appending m vertices to two vertices having a common neighbor in C_{p+1} respectively. The graphs $D_{m,m+2,p}$ and G_5 have two common right leaf decards. Hence, $\text{adern}(D_{m,m+2,p}) \geq 3$. If $|\mathcal{S}|=3$ and $m \geq 3$, by Lemmas 2.5, 2.6, and 2.7, we may assume the decards in $\mathcal{S}$ are all leaf decards or middle decards. By Lemmas 2.3 and 2.4, the reconstruction G is $D_{m,m+2,p}$. For $D_{1,3,p} (p \neq 3)$, by the proofs of Lemmas 2.3, 2.4, 2.5, 2.6, and 2.7, we may assume assume $\mathcal{S}$ has 2 middle decards and the left

leaf decard. The reconstruction from the left leaf decard other than $D_{1,3,p}$ is $D^{0,1}_{1,3,p-1}$. But $D^{0,1}_{1,3,p-1}$ shares two decards with $D_{1,3,p}$. We have $\mathrm{adern}(D_{m,m+2,p}) \leq 3 (m \notin \{2,3\}, p \neq 3)$ and $\mathrm{adern}(D_{3,5,2}) \leq 3$.

Case 5: Otherwise, some edge fails the condition of 2.1. Since some edge fails the condition of 2.1, $\mathrm{adern}(D_{m,n,p}) \geq 2$. By the proofs of Lemmas 2.2, 2.3, 2.4, 2.5, 2.6, and 2.7, any two decards determine $D_{m,n,p}$. We have $\mathrm{adern}(D_{m,n,p}) = 2$. □

Since the double-star is $D_{m,n,2}$, we can deduce the conclusion which is obtained in [8].

Corollary 3.3. *For the double-star $D_{m,n,2}$ with $1 \leq m \leq n$, $\mathrm{dern}(D_{m,n,2}) = 1$ and*

$$\mathrm{adern}(D_{m,n,2}) = \begin{cases} 3, & \textit{either } n = m+2 \textit{ or } 2 \in \{m,n\}; \\ 1, & \textit{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 11101378) and Zhejiang Natural Science Foundation (Grant No. LY14A010009).

References

[1] M.D. Barrus, D.B. West, Degree-associated reconstruction number of graphs, *Discrete Math.* 310 (2010), 2600–2612.

[2] F. Harary, On the reconstruction of a graph from a collection of subgraphs, *Theory of Graphs and its Applications (Proc. Sympos. Smolenice, 1963)* (Publ. House Czechoslovak Acad. Sci., Prague, 1964), 47–52.

[3] P. J. Kelly, On isometric transformations, PhD Thesis, University of Wisconsin-Madison, 1942.

[4] P. J. Kelly, A congruence theorem for trees, *Pacific J. Math.* 7 (1957), 961–968.

[5] M. Ma, H. Shi, H. Spinoza, D. B. West, Degree-associated reconstruction parameters of complete multipartite graphs and their complements, *Taiwan J. Math.* 19 (2015), 1271–1284.

[6] M. Ma, H. Shi, D. B. West, The adversary degree-associated reconstruction number of double blooms, *J. Discrete Algorithms* 33 (2015), 150–159.

[7] S. Monikandan, P. Anusha Devi, S. Sundar Raj, Degree associated edge reconstruction number of graphs, *J. Discrete Algorithms* 23 (2013), 35–41.

[8] S. Monikandan, S. Sundar Raj, Degree associated edge reconstruction number, in *Proc. 23rd International Workshop on Combinatorial Algorithm (IWOCA 2012)*, S. Arumugam and B. Smyth, eds., *Lect. Notes Comp. Sci.* 7643 (2012), 100–109.

[9] S. Monikandan, S. Sundar Raj, C. Jayasekaran, A.P. Santhakumaran, A note on the adversary degree associated reconstruction number of graphs, *J. Discrete Math.* Volume 2013 (2013), Article ID 808105, 5 pages, dx.doi.org/10.1155/2013/808105.

[10] S. M. Ulam, A collection of mathematical problems, *Interscience Tracts in Pure and Applied Mathematics* 8 (Interscience Publishers, 1960).